UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,111	12/14/2001	William R. Matz	01372	6465
38516 7590 08/20/2010 AT&T Legal Department - SZ Attn: Patent Docketing Room 2A-207 One AT&T Way			EXAMINER	
			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Bedminster, NJ 07921		3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by	DNTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D ATION.	;s					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep after Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if time armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on **OB June 0210**. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under **Ex parte Quayle**, 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) **1-4.6-15 and 18-38** is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) **	3688 h the correspondence addres DNTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D ATION.	;s					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if time armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 June 0210. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by	h the correspondence addresonTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DATION.	;s					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if time amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 June 0210. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by	DNTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D ATION.	SS					
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH-Failture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if time amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 08 June 0210. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by 	ATION.						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 0210</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 18-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-15 and 18-38</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by	: You have the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 18-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by							
Application Papers 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by							
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application						

Application/Control Number: 10/017,111 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 6/8/210.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-15 and 18-38 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 6-15 and 18-38 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 11/212,350. The '350 application further recites of providing increased bandwidth in bits per second as the incentive to the user. Official Notice is taken that incentives in general are well known to be in the form of products or services in order to incentivize the user to perform a certain action. It would have been

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention for the electronic coupon of Williams to be in the form of providing increased bandwidth in bits per second in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 18-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (2002/0049631 hereinafter Williams) in view of Knudson et al. (WO 99/45702 hereinafter Knudson).

With respect to claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 12-13, 30-31 and 38, Williams teaches a method for marketing (Abstract). Defining a match between a user classification and an incentive (i.e. database 48 stores electronic incentive offers stored in association with unique customer identifications)(paragraph 33); receiving content information describing content selections from a user(i.e. sending viewing information to a central location)(paragraph 35); receiving credit card purchases records associated with the user and comparing the event timeline data to credit card purchase records(see Figure 1 and claims 24-25 and 57-58 which teaches monitoring purchases over a time period); classifying the user by the processor in a user classification when the event timeline data relates to the at least one user's purchase records including credit card purchases

(i.e. identifying products and offers based on advertisements viewed are stored in the database 48 and the system correlate database 48 with purchase data including credit card purchases in order to match the coupons)(paragraphs 36-37).

With respect to receiving clickstream data describing actions performed by the user while viewing the content selections and to generate merged data describing the clickstream data and the content information over time by describing the event timeline. Williams teaches on paragraphs 0029 and 0035 the selection of the content being Online using a website, in that context it would have been obvious for the user to click on the webpage while viewing content in order to select particular items of interest and to merge the data describing the clickstream data and the content information because such a modification would allow advertisers and the like to have knowledge of the user's clickthroughs in order to keep track of the users likes and interests and would allow for better targeted advertisements over a period time.

Williams teaches classifying the user based on advertisements watched on TV. Williams doesn't specifically teach the television viewing being user's selections such as channel watched and describing the event timeline of the amount of time the channel is watched. Knudson additionally teaches on Figure 16 user's interactions to particular channels watched and for what amount of time in order to analyze user's preferences and interests. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in Williams 1, the teachings of Knudson of the user TV viewing being selected by the users such channel watched and the amount

of time the channel is watched in order to monitored user's channels selections and classify the user based on his or her intended choice over a period of time.

Page 5

With respect to claims 15, 19, 21, 24, 27 and 37 Williams, teaches a system for delivering targeted incentives to a user (Abstract). A processor executing code stored in a memory that causes the processor to receive at least one user's content viewing associated with a set-top box (i.e. sending from a set-top box user television viewing information to a central location)(paragraph 35); receive at least one user's credit card purchase records describing the at least one use's purchases and compare the event timeline data to credit card purchase records(see Figure 1 and claims 24-25 and 57-58 which teaches monitoring purchases over a time period); define a match between a user classification and an incentive (i.e. database 48 stores electronic incentive offers stored in association with unique customer identifications)(paragraph 33); classify the at least one user in a user classification when the at least one user's content selection relate to the at least one user's credit card purchases (i.e. redeemable electronic coupon incentives embedded on the television program identifying a product and offered based on advertisements viewed are stored in the database 48 and the system correlate database 48 with purchase data in order to match the coupons)(paragraphs 36-37).

With respect to receiving and comparing the clickstream data to a table stored in the memory, the table defining events of interest describing actions performed by the user while viewing the content selections and to generate merged data describing the

clickstream data and the content information over time. Williams teaches on paragraphs 0029 and 0035 the selection of the content being Online using a website, in that context it would have been obvious for the user to click on the webpage while viewing content in order to select particular items of interest and to merge the data describing the clickstream data and the content information because such a modification would allow advertisers and the like to have knowledge of the user's clickthroughs in order to keep track of the users likes and interests and would allow for better targeted advertisements over a period of time.

Williams teaches classifying the user based on advertisements watched on TV. Williams doesn't specifically teach the television viewing being user's selections such as channel watched and the amount of time the channel is watched. Knudson teaches classifying a user based on channel watched, the volume watched and the time of day and days of the week and days of month watched (i.e. if a user watches sports channel, the user is classified as being athletic and therefore will receive advertisements related to athletic shoes)(page 29, lines 11 to page 30, linesl-6). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in Williams 1, the teachings of Knudson of the user TV viewing being selected by the users such channel watched and the amount of time the channel is watched in order to monitored user's channels selections and classify the user based on his or her intended choice over a period of time.

With respect to claims 8, 11, 18, 20 and 23, Williams further teaches whether a product associated with the incentive was purchased (i.e. further benefits or incentives are provided to the user based on obtained purchase data and advertisements selected)(paragraphs 35 and 37).

Claims 10, 22 further recites that the user data comprises survey data. Official notice is taken that is old and well known in marketing to ask consumers questions about their likes and dislikes and to record the answers to those questions in order to better target the users based on their answers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included survey data in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 14, 26, 28 further recite that the incentive comprises a banner/a video program. Official Notice is taken that banners are well known form of a graphic image that runs across the top, bottom, or side margin of a Web page and also for the incentive to be via a video program in order to attract viewers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art in the system of Williams for the incentive to have comprised a banner or a video program in order to attract the user to the incentive.

Claim 25, 29 further recites that the incentive comprises a video game and the content selection being a video game. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well

known for users to provide different incentives to the users to select from, video games, money and awards being known prizes. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the incentive comprises a video game and the content selection being a video game in order to attract younger viewers to the system.

Claim 32 further recites identifying the incentive by demographic. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to issue discounts based on demographic. For example, issuing a computer coupons for households making more than \$50,000 yearly in order to increase the likelihood that the coupon will be redeemed. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included identifying the incentive by demographic in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

Claim 33 further recites transmitting the incentive to the user by mail. Official notice is taking that it is old and well known to provide incentives to the user by mail. For example, advertisements are old and well known to be sent to the users by mail in order to cast a large group of people. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included transmitting the incentive to the user by mail in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,111

Art Unit: 3688

Page 9

Claim 35 further recites receiving records related to a shopping card in which the user is given a discount in exchange for using the shopping card. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in marketing to give incentives or discount to the user to motive them to use a preferred method of payment or the like. For example, Macy's department stores have been giving a discount to their customers if they make purchases with their Macy's card for many years. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included. receiving records related to a shopping card in which the user is given a discount in exchange for using the shopping card in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Claims 36-37 further recite receiving a separate identification codes identifying each user of a common user terminal. Official notice is taken that it old and well known to use codes or passwords to identify each user of a common terminal. For example, Microsoft XP interface allows each user of a common terminal to enter a password in order to identify each of the user of the system. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included receiving a separate identification codes identifying each user of a common user terminal in order to distinguish one user from the other user of the same terminal.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,111 Page 10

Art Unit: 3688

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. Applicant argues that the combination of Williams and Knudsen doesn't teach comparing timeline data to the credit card purchases. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant because Williams teaches on Figure 1 and claims 24-25 and claims 57-58 monitoring purchases including credit purchases over a time period, the purchases are monitored in order to identify products and offers based on advertisements viewed are stored in the database 48 and the system correlate database 48 with purchase data including credit card purchases in order to match the coupons(paragraphs 36-37).

With respect to comparing the clickstream data to a table stored in the memory, the table defining events of interest. Williams teaches on paragraphs 0029 and 0035 the selection of the content being Online using a website, in that context it would have been obvious for the user to click on the webpage while viewing content in order to select particular items of interest and to merge the data describing the clickstream data and the content information because such a modification would allow advertisers and the like to have knowledge of the user's clickthroughs in order to keep track of the users likes and interests and would allow for better targeted advertisements over a period of time.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571)272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3688

R.A. 8/16/2010